

**MODELING OF ROBOTIC DRIVER FOR TELE-OPERATED SYSTEM OF A
HALF SCALED ARMORED VEHICLE**

MUHAMMAD AKHIMULLAH BIN SUBARI

Thesis submitted to the Center for Graduate Studies, **Universiti Pertahanan Nasional
Malaysia**, in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science
(Mechanical Engineering)

May 2017

ABSTRACT

Soldiers play a significant role in contributing a security environment to a country from enemies' threat. However, in conducting their military operations, the soldiers are prone to many challenges that might endanger their lives. Therefore, to reduce the harm risk in military operations, this research is focus on the development of robotic driver system which consists of throttling, braking and steering mechanisms that will be used for tele-operated system of half scale armored vehicle. In developing the robotic driver system, at the initial stage, a design of robotic driver system is proposed. Then, the robotic driver system is modelled by using MATLAB Simulink software with a non-parametric model approach by using a 2nd order transfer function. Besides, a position tracking control of robotic driver system has been conducted using Software-In-Loop simulation (SILS) and Hardware-In-Loop simulation (HILS). On the other hand, a vehicle model is developed by considering lateral and longitudinal motions. This vehicle model will act as a platform to test the robotic driver system performance on various driving scenario. Moreover, a simple PID controller of this robotic driver system has been proposed to get the best performance. The results obtained from HILS will be validated with the SILS of robotic driver system. The results show that for throttling and braking validation processes, there are minor deviation error of vehicle speed which are around 16% and 13% respectively. Moreover, an error of 10% was recorded for the yaw rate of the vehicle from the steering system of robotic driver.

ABSTRAK

Tentera memainkan peranan yang penting dalam menyumbang keselamatan dari ancaman musuh. Walaubagaimanapun, dalam menjalankan operasi ketenteraan mereka, tentera terdedah kepada pelbagai cabaran yang mungkin menjejaskan keselamatan mereka. Oleh itu, untuk mengurangkan risiko bahaya dalam operasi ketenteraan, kajian ini akan memberi tumpuan kepada pembangunan sistem robot panduan yang terdiri daripada mekanisma pendikit, brek dan stereng yang akan digunakan untuk sistem tele-pengendalian kenderaan perisai separuh besaran. Dalam membangunkan sistem robot panduan, pada peringkat awal, reka bentuk sistem robot panduan telah dicadangkan. Kemudian, sistem robot panduan itu dimodelkan dengan menggunakan perisian MATLAB Simulink berdasarkan pendekatan model bukan prametrik dengan menggunakan perintah 2 rangkap pindah. Selain itu, pengesanan kedudukan sistem robot panduan akan dijalankan melalui simulasi SILS dan HILS. Selepas itu, model kenderaan dibangunkan dengan mengambil kira pergerakan menegak dan pergerakan melintang. Model kenderaan ini akan bertindak sebagai platform untuk menguji prestasi robot dalam senario pemanduan yang pelbagai. Selain itu, kawalan mudah untuk sistem robot panduan ini juga dicadangkan dengan menggunakan PID kawalan untuk mendapatkan prestasi yang terbaik. Keputusan yang diperolehi daripada HILS akan disahkan dengan keputusan SILS sistem robot panduan. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa bagi pengesanan pendikit dan brek, terdapat ralat pada kelajuan kenderaan sekitar 16% dan 13%. Manakala kesilapan 10% dicatatkan untuk kadar rewang kenderaan untuk stereng bagi sistem robot panduan.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Alhamdulillah. First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to God for giving me the endless strengths to undergo this research and thesis writing. My appreciation goes to many people who helped to bring this research project and thesis writing to fruition. First, I would like to thank the Faculty of Engineering, National Defense University of Malaysia (UPNM) for providing me the opportunity to study here.

Thank you to my research advisor, Associate Professor Dr. Khisbullah Hudha for the continuous guidance and advice throughout the completion of this research. The knowledge that had been shared will be beneficial to my entire life journey. To Mr. Zulkiffli Bin Abd Kadir, Miss Noor Hafizah Amer, Abdul Muhaimin Bin Idris, Mohamad Hafiz Ikhwan Bin Md Amin, Mohd Izzat Bin Satar, Mazuan Bin Mansor, Mohd Sabirin Bin Rahmat, Mohd Luqman Hakim Bin Abd Rahman, Abdurrahman Dwijotomo and Vimal Rau a/l Aparow, thank you for the time you have spent and valuable discussion of the research process and thesis writing. The knowledge I have gained from our discussion, give me better insight and understanding of research process and thesis writing.

Special appreciation to my parents and family, Mr Subari Bin Sirol, Mrs Noriha Binti Ahem, Mrs Nurul Syafiqah Binti Tanwir, Khairunnisa Syaza Binti Subari, Khairunnisa Nabilah Binti Subari, Muhammad Ubaidullah Bin Subari and Isabella Binti Muhammad Akhimullah, for providing me with unfailing support and continuous encouragement throughout my years of study. This accomplishment would not have been possible without them. Thank you.

APPROVAL

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on **28th APRIL 2016** to conduct the final examination of **MUHAMMAD AKHIMULLAH BIN SUBARI** on his degree entitled '**MODELING OF ROBOTIC DRIVER FOR TELE-OPERATED SYSTEM OF A HALF SCALED ARMORED VEHICLE**'. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering).

Members of Examination Committee were as follows.

Zulkiffli Bin Abd Kadir

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia

(Chairperson)

Razali Bin Abidin, PhD

Major (R) Associate Professor Ir.

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia

(Internal Examiner)

Maziah Binti Mohamad, PhD

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering

Universiti Teknologi Malaysia

(External Examiner)

APPROVAL

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science (Mechanical Engineering). The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows.

Khisbullah Hudha, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia

(Main Supervisor)

DECLARATION

UNIVERSITI PERTAHANAN NASIONAL MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name : Muhammad Akhimullah Bin Subari
Date of birth : 01 December 1991
Title : Modeling of Robotic Driver For Tele-Operated System of A
Half Scaled Armored Vehicle
Academic session : December 2014 – December 2016

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

- CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)
- RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)
- OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access

I acknowledge that Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia reserves the right as follows.

1. The thesis is the property of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

SIGNATURE OF STUDENT

SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

I/C PASSPORT NO.

NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date:

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
APPROVAL	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Background of the Study	5
1.4 Objectives of the Research	9
1.5 Scope of the Research	10
1.6 Methodology	10
1.7 Expected Outcome	14

1.8	Thesis Outline	14
CHAPTER 2		16
LITERATURE REVIEW		16
2.1	Introduction	16
2.2	Classification of Robotic Driver System	17
2.2.1	Braking and Throttling Mechanism for Robotic Driver System	17
2.2.2	Steering Mechanism for Robotic Driver System	21
2.3	Controller for Robotic Driver System	23
2.4	Armored Vehicle Modeling	25
2.4.1	Vehicle Load Distribution in Vertical Direction	26
2.4.2	Pacejka Magic Formula Tire Model	27
2.4.3	7 DOF Armored Vehicle Handling and Wheel Models	29
2.4.4	Yaw Effect of Armored Vehicle Handling Model	32
2.4.5	Powertrain and Brake Model	33
2.5	Summary	36
CHAPTER 3		37
DESIGN OF AN INSTRUMENTED ROBOTIC DRIVER SYSTEM		37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Robotic Driver Design	38

3.2.1	Design and Working Principle of Throttling and Braking Mechanisms for Robotic Driver System	40
3.2.2	Design and Working Principle of Steering Mechanism for Robotic Driver System	42
3.3	Instrumentation of Robotic Driver System	44
3.4	Summary	46
CHAPTER 4		47
MODELING AND POSITION-TRACKING CONTROL OF ROBOTIC DRIVER SYSTEM		47
4.1	Introduction	47
4.2	Modeling of Robotic Driver System	48
4.2.1	Modeling of Throttling Mechanism	49
4.2.1.1	Characterization of Throttling Mechanism Behavior	49
4.2.1.2	Non-Parametric Modeling of Throttling Mechanism	51
4.2.2	Modeling of Braking Mechanism	53
4.2.2.1	Characterization of Braking Mechanism Behavior	53
4.2.2.2	Non-Parametric Modeling of Braking Mechanism	55
4.2.3	Modeling of Steering System	57
4.2.3.1	Characterization of Steering Mechanism Behavior	57
4.2.3.2	Non-Parametric Modeling of Steering Mechanism	59

4.3	Position-Tracking Control of Robotic Driver System	61
4.3.1	Software-In-Loop Simulation of Robotic Driver System	61
4.3.1.1	SILS for Throttling Mechanism at 50% and 100% Throttle Setting	62
4.3.1.2	SILS for Braking Mechanism at 50% and 100% Brake Setting	65
4.3.1.3	SILS for Steering Mechanism at Frequencies of 0.25 Hz and 0.5Hz	68
4.3.2	Hardware-In-Loop-Simulation Setup of Robotic Driver System	72
4.3.2.1	HILS for Throttling Mechanism at 50% and 100% Throttle Setting	75
4.3.2.2	HILS for Braking Mechanism at 50% and 100% Brake Setting	79
4.3.2.3	HILS for Steering Mechanism at Frequencies of 0.25Hz and 0.5Hz	83
4.4	Summary	87
CHAPTER 5		89
IMPLEMENTATION OF ROBOTIC DRIVER SYSTEM INTO ARMORED VEHICLE MODEL		89
5.1	Introduction	89
5.2	Control Design of Robotic Driver System for HILS	90
5.3	Configuration of Robotic Driver System Using Armored Vehicle Model	91
5.4	Performance Evaluation of Robotic Driver System	92
5.4.1	Sudden Acceleration Test	93
5.4.1.1	Case 1: 50% Throttle Setting Input	93
5.4.1.2	Case 2:100% Throttle Setting Input	95

5.4.2	Sudden Acceleration and Braking Test	98
5.4.3	Double Lane Change Test	101
5.5	Summary	105
CHAPTER 6		106
CONCLUSION		106
6.1	Introduction	106
6.2	Specific Finding	108
6.3	Summary of Research Contribution	109
6.4	Recommendation and Future Works	109
REFERENCES		111
APPENDICES		117
BIODATA OF STUDENT		126
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS		130

LIST OF TABLES

Table No.	Title	Page
Table 2:1	Emprical coefficient for Pacejka magic tire formula	29
Table 4:1	Parameters of second order transfer function for throttling mechanism	52
Table 4:2	Parameters of second-order transfer function for braking mechanism	55
Table 4:3	Parameters of second order transfer function for steering mechanism	59

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No.	Title	Page
Figure 1.1	Rack-and-pinion steering drive mechanism	6
Figure 1.2	Pitman Arm steering mechanism	7
Figure 1.3	(a) Drum brake major parts (b) Disc brake major parts	8
Figure 1.4	Throttle pedal driving mechanism	9
Figure 1.5	Flow chart of research	13
Figure 2.1	Robotic driver developed by Namik & Inamura, (2006).	17
Figure 2.2	Brake and throttle system of robotic driver proposed by Wong <i>et. al.</i>, (2008).	19
Figure 2.3	Robotic driver of ADS-7000 (left) and Stahle SAP 2000 (right) (Romanchik, 2004)	20
Figure 2.4	Robotic driver studied by Hirata. N., <i>et. al.</i>, (2015) and Mizutani. N., <i>et. al.</i>, (2016)	21
Figure 2.5	Steering mechanism of robotic driver system for Carina I. In details: (1) motor, (2) coupling system pulley, (3) steering system component, (4) digital encoder, (5) coupling detection switch, (6) coupling handspike, (7) motor controller and (8) limit switches	22
Figure 2.6	Active front steering actuator developed by Klier <i>et. al.</i>, (2004)	23
Figure 2.7	Component of PID controller	25

Figure 2.8 Lateral and Longitudinal armored vehicle model block diagram	26
Figure 2.9 A load distribution model block diagram	27
Figure 2.10 The 7-DOF Handling model (Hudha. K. <i>et. al.</i>, 2014)	30
Figure 2.11 Rotational motion of the tire	32
Figure 2.12 Typical engine torque data	34
Figure 2.13 Automatic Gearbox Shift Map (Short, M., <i>et. al.</i>, 2004)	35
Figure 3.1 A new robotic driver proposed for armored vehicle	39
Figure 3.2 Working principle of throttling and braking mechanism of a robotic driver	42
Figure 3.3 Working principle of steering of a robotic driver	44
Figure 3.4 Instrumentation of robotic driver system	45
Figure 4.1 Characterization of throttling mechanism at 50% throttle setting	50
Figure 4.2 Characterization of throttling mechanism at 100% throttle setting	51
Figure 4.3 Result of 50% throttle setting on non-parametric model	52
Figure 4.4 Result of 100% throttle setting on non-parametric model	53
Figure 4.5 Characterization of braking mechanism at 50% brake setting	54
Figure 4.6 Characterization of braking mechanism at 100% brake setting	54
Figure 4.7 Result of 50% brake setting on non-parametric model	56
Figure 4.8 Result of 100% brake setting on non-parametric model	57
Figure 4.9 Characterization of steering mechanism at 4.3° wheel angle (50% steering wheel turn)	58
Figure 4.10 Characterization of steering mechanism at 8.6° wheel angle (100% steering wheel turn)	58
Figure 4.11 Result of 50% wheel angle on non-parametric model	60

Figure 4.12 Result of 100% wheel angle on non-parametric model	60
Figure 4.13 SILS control structure	61
Figure 4.14 Result of SILS for throttling mechanism with 50% throttle setting square input	63
Figure 4.15 Result of SILS for throttling mechanism with 50% throttle setting sine input	63
Figure 4.16 Result of SILS for throttling mechanism with 100% throttle setting square input	64
Figure 4.17 Result of SILS for throttling mechanism with 100% throttle setting sine input	65
Figure 4.18 Result of SILS for braking mechanism with 50% brake setting square input	66
Figure 4.19 Result of SILS for braking mechanism with 50% brake setting sine input	66
Figure 4.20 Result of SILS for braking mechanism with 100% brake setting square input	67
Figure 4.21 Result of SILS for braking mechanism with 100% brake setting sine input	68
Figure 4.22 Result of SILS for steering mechanism with 0.25 Hz square input	69
Figure 4.23 Result of SILS for steering mechanism with 0.25 Hz sine input	70
Figure 4.24 Result of SILS for steering mechanism with 0.5 Hz square input	71
Figure 4.25 Result of SILS for steering mechanism with 0.5 Hz sine input	71
Figure 4.26 Hardware-In-Loop simulation (HILS) experiment setup	73
Figure 4.27 HILS experiment working flow	74

Figure 4.28 Real time interface for inner loop controller	75
Figure 4.29 HILS for throttling mechanism with 50% throttle setting square input	76
Figure 4.30 HILS for throttling mechanism with 50% throttle setting sine input	77
Figure 4.31 HILS for throttling mechanism with 100% throttle setting square input	78
Figure 4.32 HILS for throttle mechanism with 100% throttle setting sine input	79
Figure 4.33 HILS for braking mechanism with 50% brake setting square input	80
Figure 4.34 HILS for braking mechanism with 50% brake setting sine input	81
Figure 4.35 HILS for braking mechanism with 100% brake setting square input	82
Figure 4.36 HILS for braking mechanism with 100% brake setting sine input	83
Figure 4.37 HILS for steering mechanism with 0.25 Hz square input	84
Figure 4.38 HILS for steering mechanism with 0.25 Hz sine input	85
Figure 4.39 HILS for steering mechanism with 0.5 Hz square input	86
Figure 4.40 HILS for steering mechanism with 0.5 Hz sine input	87
Figure 5.1 Control Structure for HILS of robotic driver system	91
Figure 5.2 HILS of vehicle speed at 50% throttle setting input	93
Figure 5.3 Wheel speed for 50% throttle setting input at (a) front left tire and (b) rear left tire	94
Figure 5.4 Longitudinal slip for 50% throttle setting input at (a) front left tire and (b) rear left tire	95
Figure 5.5 HILS of vehicle speed at 100% throttle setting input	96
Figure 5.6 Wheel speed for 100% throttle setting input at (a) front left tire and (b) rear left tire	97

Figure 5.7 Longitudinal slip for 100% throttle setting at (a) front left tire and (b) rear left tire	98
Figure 5.8 HILS of vehicle speed at sudden acceleration and braking test	99
Figure 5.9 Wheel speed for sudden acceleration and braking test at (a) front left tire and (b) rear left tire	100
Figure 5.10 Longitudinal slip for sudden acceleration and braking test at (a) front left tire and (b) rear left tire	101
Figure 5.11 HILS of yaw rate for double lane change	102
Figure 5.12 HILS of lateral acceleration for double lane change	103
Figure 5.13 Side slip angle at (a) front left tire, (b) front right tire, (c) rear left tire, and (d) rear right tire for double lane change test	104

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ROV	Remotely operated vehicle
RPV	Remotely piloted vehicle
UAV	Unmanned air vehicle
UGV	Unmanned ground vehicle
ABS	Antilock braking system
ECU	Electric control unit
DOF	Degree of freedom
HILS	Hardware-In-Loop simulation
SILS	Software-in-loop simulation
PID	Proportional-Integral-Derivatives
m	Mass of body
g	Gravitational acceleration
C	Shape factor
B	Stiffness factor
D	Peak value
E	Curvature factor
\dot{v}	Vehicle acceleration
h	Height of vehicle from c.g.
θ	Road inclination from normal
F_x	Lateral force
F_y	Longitudinal force

M_z	Aligning moment
δ	Steering angle
I_z	Moment inertia about z-axis
t	Wheel track of the vehicle
T_a	Torque delivered by the engine to front wheel
T_b	Torque applied to each wheel due to brake
ω_{ij}	Wheel angular speed
C_f	Viscous friction coefficient
l_f	Distance of front axle to the c.g. of the vehicle
l_r	Distance of rear axle to the c.g. of the vehicle
a_x	Longitudinal acceleration
a_y	Lateral acceleration

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

Tele-operation is defined as a working mechanism using a machine or robot which is remotely controlled from a distance. Normally, the term tele-operation is describe as the direct and continuous human control of the tele-operator which is also commonly referred as tele-robotics (Sheridan, 1992). Furthermore, tele-robotics can be referred as the remote control of a robot, known as a tele-chair. Signals are transmitted to the tele-chair and the feedback signal to the human once the mobile robot responds to the instruction given by the human (Rouse, 2011). At the end of 1940, the history of tele-operation has been evolved where salve manipulator for the chemical and nuclear material handling had been developed in the Argonne National Laboratory. Then, adoption of video technology and force feedback to the tele-operation allowed the first telepresence system became reality.

In recent years, an adoption of these robotic technologies in the field of space exploration, search and rescue, national defense, entertainment, police special weapons and tactics operation, health care and personal assistance have been widely applied (Chen *et. al.*, 2010). Firstly, this system has been used in medical surgery by developing robotized medical tele-echography (Courreges *et. al.*, 2005). Then, tele-operated also has been used for space exploration using robot satellite (Oda, 2000) such as Lunokhod 2 in 1973 (Severny *et. al.*, 1975). Nowadays, most of the researchers involved in the development of the vehicle tele-operator system. Vehicle tele-operation can be defined as the operating system for a vehicle at a distance from the human interaction. Tele-operation is often implemented in ‘difficult-to-reach’ environment in order to improve the task efficiency and to reduce human risk (Kelley, 1968).

Since the development of the tele-operation occurred over different periods and environments, vehicle tele-operation is referred as a numerous term such as ROV (Remotely Operated Vehicle). ROV is a heavy vehicle technology by developing humanoid robots to drive a lift truck (Hasunuma *et. al.*, 2002). Other than ROV, another vehicle tele-operation is also known as RPV (Remotely Piloted Vehicle), UAV (Unmanned Air Vehicle) and UGV (Unmanned Ground Vehicle). The ROV and RPV refer to the tele-operated systems, while UAV and UGV, both consist of tele-operated autonomous systems. Moreover, this technology has been applied in military application in order to develop UAV and UGV used tele-operated system (Fleming, 2003).

Nowadays, the tele-operated vehicle is needed in military operation to give better security to the soldiers during battlefield (Parker & Howard, 2009). It also widely used for mine clearance at nations devastated by armed conflicts (Debenest. P. *et. al.*, 2005).

Besides, the tele-operated vehicle might be used to transport equipment, carry some weapons, collect intelligent information and detect the threats (Czapla *et. al.*, 2013). A lot of research have been carried out such as autonomous military UGV (Davis, 2012), humanoid robot (Jentsch *et. al.*, 2004) and tele-operated military UGV (Jessie Y C Chen, 2010) in order to reduce human intervention directly during a war.

Meanwhile, an armored vehicle system can be divided into two category which are known as wheeled and tracked armored vehicles (Chen *et. al.*, 2013). P. Horback (1998) had discussed the advantages and disadvantages between these two armored vehicle where tracked armored vehicle has a better mission travel time off-road and suitable for all weather. However, it has a bigger size which can be easily targeted by the enemy. Then, the wheeled armored vehicle has an ability to attain fast road speed on-road but acquired longer time for off-road. It also more vulnerable to small arms, grenade and mines with great in agility and not easily targeted by enemy.

Besides, both of the armored vehicles has a capabilities to operate during offensive and defensive tactical due to unique combination of firepower, mobility and protection (R. Steeb *et. al.*, 1991). Despite all of this criteria that exist on armored vehicle, the fundamental theory on how to drive the armored vehicle were similar. It required a steering mechanism for maneuvering, throttling mechanism to accelerate and braking mechanism to halt the armored vehicle from motion.

1.2 Problem Statement

During battlefield, most of the soldiers are directly exposed their life in danger zone since they become one of the targets by their enemies. The soldiers might get injured or severely risk their life while driving the armored vehicle to launch the firing attack towards the enemy in the battlefield. However, the soldiers need to be protected in order to reduce the huge losses. Hence, a non-direct involvement of soldier in conducting the armored vehicle or gun mechanism need to be developed as a solution to overcome this problem. Therefore, an unmanned ground vehicle (UGV) is developed to reduce the loss risk of the soldiers in battlefield.

An unmanned ground vehicle (UGV) is known as vehicle that operates while in contact with the ground and without an on-board human presence in the vehicle. UGV can be used in many conditions where it may be inconvenient, dangerous, or impossible to be handled by a human operator. The UGV mainly consists of a robotic driver which is used to operate the armored vehicle automatically. Besides, it also has a control room and wireless communication for the human operator and transferring the input given into the robotic driver system. Therefore, in this study, a new mechanism of a robotic driver will be developed in this study. This robotic driver will allow steering, throttle and brake to be operated automatically by the human operator in a different location.

1.3 Background of the Study

For the vehicle to be fully operated, there are three major driving mechanisms that need to be considered namely steering mechanism for wheel rotation, throttling mechanism to control the acceleration of the vehicle and braking mechanism to halt the vehicle from motion. These three mechanisms are considered the major components to drive and control a vehicle. By referring to previous research works such as modification of vehicle handling characteristics via steer-by-wire (Yih & Gerdes, 2005), control strategies of steer-by-wire system (Jianmin *et. al.*, 2010) and how to make steer-by-wire feel like power steering (Odenthal *et. al.*, 2002), steering mechanism has evolved from a conventional to the steer-by-wire system.

However, most of the vehicles are still using conventional steering compared to the steer-by-wire method. Conventional method can be divided by two categories which are rack-and-pinion and pitman arm steering systems. A rack-and-pinion steering system consists of gear set that enclosed in a metal tube, with each end of the rack protruding from the tube. A rod, called a tie rod, connects to each end of the rack where the pinion gear is attached to the steering shaft as shown in Figure 1.1. The rack-and-pinion gear set is used to convert the rotational motion of the steering wheel to the linear motion to drive the rack mechanism either to the left or right position according to the steering wheel input.