INVESTIGATION OF GREEN RURAL ROAD NETWORK ELEMENTS FOR SOCIOECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL AREAS

NUR AININA BINTI MUSTAFA

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY (CIVIL ENGINEERING)

UNIVERSITI PERTAHANAN NASIONAL MALAYSIA

2023

INVESTIGATION OF GREEN RURAL ROAD NETWORK ELEMENTS FOR SOCIOECONOMICS DEVELOPMENT IN RURAL AREAS

NUR AININA BINTI MUSTAFA

Thesis submitted to the Centre for Graduate Studies, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia, in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)

ABSTRACT

Sustainable development has been defined as the development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Nowadays, the implementation of green practices has become one of the most favoured methods in road construction, given that these vital infrastructure assets have a significant impact on the environment and human well-being. These impacts include alterations to the physical landscape and a negative effect on the quality of life for the surrounding communities. In Malaysia, particularly in rural areas, the networks comprise the largest proportion of roads in the country, making efficient and sustainable rural road networks even more crucial. Therefore, this study aims to identify the elements of green rural road networks that should be considered in the development of rural roads. Additionally, the study investigates the green road elements that potentially contribute to socioeconomic development in rural areas. Moreover, the absence of a specific guideline for green road development in rural areas highlights the need to address this gap through a systematic approach. This study employs five phases of methodology process in order to achieve its objectives, which include identifying the elements of green rural road networks, analysing their effectiveness and determining the significant green rural road elements that potentially contribute to socioeconomic development in rural areas. Through an intensive literature review, 32 elements for green rural road networks were identified. These elements were then presented in the form of a questionnaire. A pilot test was conducted involving 53 road experts and practitioners in Malaysia. For the main survey, a census method was applied for data collection, and a total of 63 questionnaires were successfully collected and returned out of the 119 questionnaires that were distributed. The respondents were individuals working in the field of Civil Engineering, specializing in road construction and maintenance work in Malaysia. In this study, descriptive analysis was conducted to interpret the demographic data of the respondents. Cronbach's alpha was used to determine the internal consistency of the pilot test data, and Goodman-Kruskal Gamma was employed to analyse the association between two variables in the main survey data. Subsequently, the significant elements of the green rural road network that potentially contribute to socioeconomic development were presented in the form of a framework. The validation process of the framework, based on expert views, was conducted to determine its appropriateness, comprehensiveness, simplicity, and applicability. The validation process involved 8 highly experienced individuals. From analysis, it is evident that remaining seventeen (17) green rural road elements were significant and had a strong positive association with at least one of the socioeconomic development indicators studied. In conclusion, this study has successfully achieved all its objectives and has developed a Framework of Significant Elements for My Green Rural Road Index-(Socioeconomic).

ABSTRAK

Pada masa kini, pelaksanaan amalan hijau telah menjadi salah satu kaedah yang paling digemari dalam pembinaan jalan raya kerana aset infrastruktur penting ini memberi impak yang ketara kepada alam sekitar dan juga manusia. Malaysia khususnya, jalan luar bandar merupakan rangjkaian raya yang terbesar di Malaysia. Oleh itu, jalan luar bandar yang cekap dan kukuh adalah penting untuk faedah masyarakat setempat. Walau bagaimanapun, pembangunan dan operasi jalan ini melibatkan skala besar dan memberikan kesan jangka panjang kepada manusia dan alam sekitar seperti menyumbang kepada kesan ekologi serta menjejaskan kualiti hidup masyarakat setempat sepanjang kitaran hayatnya. Oleh itu, kajian ini mengenal pasti elemen bagi rangkaian jalan luar bandar hijau yang perlu dipertimbangkan dalam pembangunan jalan luar bandar hijau serta menyiasat elemen yang menyumbang kepada pembangunan sosioekonomi di kawasan luar bandar. Tambahan pula, ketiadaan garis panduan khusus pembangunan jalan hijau bagi jalan raya di kawasan luar bandar didapati sebagai satu jurang yang perlu diisi melalui pendekatan yang sistematik. Dalam kajian ini, metodologi kajian dibahagikan kepada lima fasa untuk mengenal pasti elemen rangkaian jalan raya luar bandar yang hijau, keberkesanan elemen tersebut, elemen signifikan yang menyumbang kepada pembangunan sosioekonomi. Melalui tinjauan literatur yang intensif, 32 elemen untuk jalan luar bandar hijau telah dikenalpasti. Elemen-elemen ini kemudiannya dibentangkan dalam bentuk soal selidik. Ujian perintis telah dijalankan dengan penglibatan 53 jurutera awam bahagian jalan di Malaysia. Kemudian untuk tinjauan utama, kaedah bancian digunakan untuk pengumpulan data, 63 borang soal selidik berjaya dikumpul dan dikembalikan daripada 119 borang soal selidik yang diedarkan. Responden bertugas dalam bidang Kejuruteraan Awam khusus dalam kerja-kerja pembinaan dan penyelenggaraan jalan raya di Malaysia. Dalam kajian ini, analisis deskriptif dijalankan untuk mentafsir data bagi demografi responden, Cronbach alpha untuk menentukan ketekalan dalaman data ujian rintis, dan Goodman Kruskal Gamma untuk menganalisis perkaitan antara dua pembolehubah bagi data tinjauan utama. Seterusnya, elemen penting rangkaian jalan luar bandar hijau yang menyumbang kepada pembangunan sosio-ekonomi yg keudiannya dibentangkan dalam bentuk rangka kerja. Proses Pengesahan rangka kerja berdasarkan pandangan pakar telah dijalankan untuk menentukan kesesuaian, komprehensif, kesederhanaan dan kebolehgunaannya. Proses pengesahan melibatkan 8 individu yang sangat berpengalaman. Daripada analisis, terbukti 17 rangkaian jalan luar bandar hijau adalah signifikan dan mempunyai perkaitan positif yang kuat dengan sekurang-kurangnya satu daripada petunjuk pembangunan sosioekonomi yang dikaji. Kesimpulannya, kajian ini telah mencapai semua objektif selain berjaya membangunkan Rangka Elemen bagi My Green Rural Road Index- (Sosioeconomic).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thank you to ALLAH SWT for giving me strength to complete my thesis. A sincere appreciation to my beloved family especially my husband, mother, father, sons, sister and relatives for their dua' and support.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Lt. Kol. Ir. Dr. Vikneswaran Munikanan (B) and my co-supervisor Prof. Madya Dr. Rozana Zakaria for their guidance, patience, encouragement and advice during the entire study.

I express my warmest gratitude to the group members of Collaborative Research Grants from UTM, UiTM and UPNM and road expert at JKR, District Office and road engineering consultant in Perak, Negeri Sembilan and Johor for providing the help and guidelines throughout the whole research.

I am also owing and very grateful to my friend Dr. Norsita, Dr. Hasmawati, Dr. Syafiq, Dr. Afiffudin, Dr. Ir. Jeffryl Azniel, Puan Rosdiyana, Puan Santy and Ir. Dr. Aidi to share all the hard time together. Last but not least, to all people who involved directly and indirectly for their love and everlasting unconditional support.

APPROVAL

The Examination Committee has met on **21 September 2023** to conduct the final examination of **Nur Ainina Binti Mustafa** on his degree thesis entitled **Investigation** of Green Rural Road Network Elements for Socioeconomic Development in Rural Areas.

The committee recommends that the student be awarded the of Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering).

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows.

Prof. Dr. Risby bin Mohd Sohaimi Faculty of Engineering Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (Chairman)

Ts. Dr. Maidiana binti Othman Faculty of Engineering Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Prof. Ts. Dr. Muhammad Zaly Shah bin Muhammad Hussein Faculty of Built Environment and Surveying Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (External Examiner)

Prof. Madya Ts. Dr. Rafidah binti Hamdan Faculty of Civil Engineering and Built Environment Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malayia (External Examiner

APPROVAL

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of **Doctor of Philosophy (Civil Engineering)**. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows.

Lt. Kol. Ir. Ts. Dr. Vikneswaran a/l Munikanan (B) Faculty of Engineering Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (Main Supervisor)

P.M Dr. Rozana Binti ZakariaFaculty of EngineeringUniversiti Teknologi Malaysia(Co-Supervisor)

UNIVERSITI PERTAHANAN NASIONAL MALAYSIA

DECLARATION OF THESIS

Student's full name	: Nur Ainina Binti Mustafa
Date of birth	: 26 January 1986
Title	: Investigation of Green Rural Road Network Elements for Socioeconomic Development in Rural Areas
Academic session	: 2016/2017

I hereby declare that the work in this thesis is my own except for quotations and summaries which have been duly acknowledged.

I further declare that this thesis is classified as:

CONFIDENTIAL (Contains confidential information under the official Secret Act 1972)*
RESTRICTED (Contains restricted information as specified by the organisation where research was done)*
OPEN ACCESS I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (full text)

I acknowledge that Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia reserves the right as follows.

- 1. The thesis is the property of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia.
- 2. The library of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
- 3. The library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

Signature

**Signature of Supervisor/Dean of CGS/ Chief Librarian

Click here to enter text.

Click here to enter text.

IC/Passport No.

**Name of Supervisor/Dean of CGS/ Chief Librarian

Date:

Date:

*If the thesis is CONFIDENTAL OR RESTRICTED, please attach the letter from the organisation with period and reasons for confidentiality and restriction. ** Witness

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE

ABSTRACT		ii
ABSTRAK		iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		iv
APPROVAL		v
APPROVAL		vi
DECLARATIO	ON OF THESIS	vii
TABLE OF CO	ONTENTS	viii
LIST OF TABI	LES	xii
LIST OF FIGU	IRES	xiv
LIST OF ABBE	REVIATIONS	xvi
LIST OF APPE	INDICES	xviii
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem Statements	5
	1.3 Research Aim	7
	1.4 Research Objectives	7
	1.5 Research Questions	8
	1.6 Research Gap	8
	1.7 Scope and Limitation of Research	10
	1.8 Significant of Research	11
	1.9 Operational Terms	14
	1.9.1 Rural Road	14
	1.9.2 Green Road	14
	1.9.3 Rural Area	14
	1.10Outline of the Thesis	15
CHAPTER 2	LITERATURE REVIEW	17
	2.1 Introduction	17
	2.2 Historical Development of Road	17
	2.3 Concept of Mobility and Accessibility	19
	2.4 Road Development in Malaysia	20
	2.5 Road Classification Worldwide	22
	2.6 Road Networks in Malaysia	24
	2.7 Rural Road Definition	27
	2.8 Rural	29
	2.8.1 Rural Development in Malaysia	31
	2.8.2 Recent Rural Development Policies	33
	2.9 The Importance of Rural Road Infrastructure	39
	2.10Impact of Road Infrastructure Development in	
	Rural Areas	41
	2.11Green Road	43

	2.12Green Road Assessment and Rating System	48
	2.13Green Road Criteria and Elements	54
	2.13.1 Specific Rural-Related Green Road	
	Guideline- A Justification	66
	2.13.2 Specific Green Road Elements for Rural	
	Road 67	
	2.13.3 Conceptual Framework of Green Rural	
	Road Network Elements	72
	2.14Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)	74
	2.15Sustainable Development in Malaysia	77
	2.16Socio Economic and Socioeconomic	
	Development	79
	2.16.1 Socioeconomic	79
	2.16.2 Development, Well-Being and	
	Socioeconomic Development	80
	2.17Measurement of Socioeconomic Development	81
	2.17.1 Gross Domestic Product (GDP)	82
	2.17.2 Human Development Index (HDI)	83
	2.17.3 Composite Global Well-Being Index	
	(CGWBI)	86
	2.17.4 Happy Index	86
	2.17.5 Where To Be Born	87
	2.17.6 OECD The Better Life Index (BLI)	87
	2.18Indicators of Socioeconomic Development by	
	Several Researchers	89
	2.19Socioeconomic Development through Rural Road	90
	2.19.1 Increase Income	92
	2.19.2 Poverty Reduction	92
	2.19.3 Increase Employment Level	93
	2.19.4 Increase in Health Level	94
	2.19.5 Increase in Education Level	95
CILADTED 2	DESEADCH METHODOLOCY	06
CHAPTER 3	2 1 Introduction	90
	3.1 Introduction 3.2 Descereb method	90
	3.2 Research Includu 3.3 Dhase I. Literature Review	90
	3.4 Phase II Instrument Development Questionnaire	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Survey	00
	3 4 1 Questionnaire Survey Design	99 100
	3.4.2 Questionnaire Distribution	100
	3 4 3 Pilot Study	102
	3.4.4 Scaling and Levelling	102
	3.4.5 Validity and Paliability	103
	3.5 Phase III- Main Data Collection Method	104
	3.6 Phase IV- Data Analysis of Main Questionnaira	103
	3.6.1 Goodman and Kruskal's gamma	109
	5.0.1 Oodunian ahu Kruskai s gallillia	109

	3.7 Phase V-Validation Process based on Expert	
	View	110
	3.8 Summary	111
CHAPTER 4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	112
	4.1 Introduction	112
	4.2 Objective 1: To identify the green rural road	
	network elements for rural road development.	112
	4.3 Objective 2: To analyses the effectiveness of	
	green rural road network elements for green rural	
	road development.	116
	4.3.1 Respondents' Demographic – Frequency	
	Analysis	116
	4.3.2 Pilot Test Data Analysis	119
	4.4 Objective 3: To evaluate the association of green	
	rural road network elements with the	
	socioeconomic development indicator.	122
	4.4.1 Respondents' Demographic and Level of	
	Green Rural Road Awareness	123
	4.4.2 Length of Services versus Gender	124
	4.4.3 Gender versus Occupation Sector	125
	4.4.4 Length of Services versus View on Rural	
	Road Network improve Socioeconomic	
	Status	127
	4.4.5 Occupation Sector versus View on	
	Implementation of Green Rural Road	128
	4.4.6 Goodman and Kruskal's Gamma Analysis	130
	4.4.7 Association Between Green Rural Road	
	Network Elements and Income Level	136
	4.4.8 Association Between Green Rural Road	
	Network Elements and Employment Level	137
	4.4.9 Association Between Green Rural Road	1.40
	Network Elements and Safety	140
	4.4.10 Association Between Green Rural Road	1 47
	Network Elements and Health Level	147
	4.4.11 Association Between Green Rural Road	151
	Network Elements and Education Level	151
	Objective 4: To Propose Significant Green Rural	
	Road Network Elements for Malaysia Green	151
	Kurai Koad index (MyKKI)- Socioeconomic	151
	4.5 vandation based on Expert view	154
	4.5.1 Demographic information	154
	4.5.2 Framework validation	159
	4.5.5 Discussion of Findings	167
	4.6 Summary	168

CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	170
	5.1 Conclusion	170
	5.2 Conclusion of Main Finding Based on Research	
	Objective	170
	5.2.1 Objective 1: To identify the green rural	
	road network elements that contribute to	
	socioeconomic development in rural areas	170
	5.2.2 Objective 2: To analyses the effectiveness	
	of green rural road network elements for	
	green rural road development	171
	5.2.3 Objective 3: To evaluate the association of	
	green rural road network elements with the	
	socioeconomic development indicator.	171
	5.2.4 Objective 4: To propose significant green	
	rural road network elements for MyRRI-	
	(Socioeconomic)	172
	5.3 Contribution of Study to Knowledge	12
	5.4 Contribution of Study to The Industry	13
	5.5 Limitation of the Study	13
	5.6 Recommendation for Future Works	172
REFERENCES		174
APPENDICES		192
BIODATA OF S	STUDENt	228
LIST OF PUBL	ICATIONS	229

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Description of Eight Road Classification Themes Observed	22
Worldwide	23
Table 2.2 Road Based on Administration	25
Table 2.3 Road Class According to Design (ATJ 8/86, 2015)	26
Table 2.4 Six MRRD Strategies Cores for Rural Development in Malaysia	33
Table 2.5 Sustainable Objective Based on Human Value and Natural Law	45
Table 2.7 Summary of Attributes Considered in Green Road Assessment	63
Table 2.8 Elements in Consideration with their Reference and Attribute	64
Table 2.8 Example of Specific Elements for Rural Road	71
Table 2.9 Sustainable Development and their Description	76
Table 2.10 Malaysia Development Plan and Their Description	79
Table 2.11 Calculation cut-off point of Human Development Index	84
Table 2.12 Countries and HDI Values	85
Table 2.13 Indicator of HDI	85
Table 2.14 Socioeconomic Development Indicator and their Reference	89
Table 3.1 Scale and Description for Section C of Questionnaires	101
Table 3.2 Questionnaire Format	101
Table 3.3 Organisation and Number of Respondent	108
Table 4.1 Cronbach Alpha for Green Rural Road Network Elements	119
Table 4.2 Summary of Cronbach's Alpha Analysis for Green Rural Road Network Elements	119

Table 4.3 Frequency Length of Services versus Gender	124
Table 4.4 Frequency Table of Respondent's Occupation (sector) versus Gender	126
Table 4.5 Frequency of View on Rural Road Improve Socioeconomic Status versus Length of Service	127
Table 4.6 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' View on Implementation of Green Rural Road	129
Table 4.7Gamma Coefficient Between Green Rural Road Network Elements with Socioeconomic Development Indicators Development Indicators	131
Table 4.8 Expert Details Profile	155
Table 4.9 Frequency of Respondent's Nature of Business versus Gender	156
Table 4.10 Frequency of Respondent's Qualification versus Gender	157
Table 4.11 Frequency of Respondent Age Range	158
Table 4.12 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on theIndependent Variables	160
Table 4.13 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on the dependent Variables	161
Table 4.14 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on theAppropriateness of the Framework	162
Table 4.15 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on the Comprehensiveness of the Framework	163
Table 4.16 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on the Clarity of the Framework	164
Table 4.17 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on theApplicability of the Framework	165
Table 4.18 Frequency Distribution of Respondents' Views on the overall Satisfaction Towards the Framework	166

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NC). TITLE	PAGE
Figure 1.1	Sustainability Outcomes in Road Infrastructure Development	3
Figure 2.1	Theme of Sustainable Development	43
Figure 2.2	Conceptual Framework of Green Rural Road Network Elements	73
Figure 3.1	Research Methodology Chart	98
Figure 3.2	Map of Perak	106
Figure 3.3	Map of Negeri Sembilan	106
Figure 3.4	Map of Johor	107
Figure 4.1	Conceptual Framework I - Green Rural Road Network Elements	113
Figure 4.2	Conceptual Framework II - Green Rural Road Network Elements	114
Figure 4.3	Number of Experts from Road Related Organisations	117
Figure 4.4	Experts' Years Involvement in Road Development	117
Figure 4.5	Experts' Involvement in Road	118
Figure 4.6	Bar Chart of Length of Services Versus Gender	125
Figure 4.7	Bar Chart of Occupation (Sector) versus Gender	126
Figure 4.8	Bar Chart View on Rural Road Improve Socioeconomic Status versus Length of Service	128
Figure 4.9	View Implementation of Green Rural Road Versus Occupation Sector	130
Figure 4.10	Framework of Significant Green Rural Road Elements	153
Figure 4.11	Bar Chart of Respondent's Nature of Business versus Gender	156
Figure 4.12	Bar Chart of Respondent's Qualification versus Gender	158

Figure 4.13 Proportion of Respondents' Age Range	159
Figure 4.14 Proportion of Respondents' Views on the Independent Variable	160
Figure 4.15 Proportion of Respondents' Views on the dependent Variable	161
Figure 4.16 Respondents' Views on the Appropriateness of the Framework	162
Figure 4.17 Respondents' Views on the Comprehensiveness of the Framework	163
Figure 4.18 Respondents' Views on the Clarity of the Framework	164
Figure 4.19 Respondents' Views on the Applicability of the Framework	165
Figure 4.20 Respondents' Views on the overall Satisfaction Towards the Framework	166

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CAREC	-	Central Asia Regional Economic Operation
СОТО	-	Committee of Transport Official
FELCRA	-	Federal Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation Authority
FELDA	-	The Federal Land Development Authority
FHWA	-	Federal Highway Administration
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
GreenLITES	-	Leadership In Transportation and Environmental Sustainability
HDI	-	Human Development Index
ICSM	-	Inter-Governmental Committee of Surveying Mapping
I-LAST	-	Illinois Liveable and Sustainable Transportation
INVEST	-	Infrastructure Voluntary Evaluation Sustainability Tool
JKR	-	Public Work Department
MHA	-	Malaysian Highway Authority
MRRD	-	Ministry of Rural and Regional Development
MyRRI	-	Malaysia Green Rural Road Index
myGHI	-	Malaysia Green Highway Index
NAPA	-	National American Asphalt Pavement Association
NKRA	-	Malaysia National Key Results Area
OECD	-	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development
UPNM	-	Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia
UiTM	-	Unversiti Teknologi MARA
UTM	-	Universiti Teknologi Malaysia
P. Eng	-	Professional Engineer
Ph.D.		Doctor of Philosophy
STAR	-	Sustainable Transportation Analysis Rating System
SDG	-	Sustainable Development Goals
ROSPA	-	The Royal Society for the Prevention of Accidents
RTA	-	Roads and Transport Authority Dubai

LIST OF SYMBOLS

- α Cronbach Alpha
- γ Gamma Association Coefficient
- p Probability of coefficient

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix A: Main Survey Questionn	aire	192
Appendix B: Pilot Study		207
Appendix C: Expert View		220
Appendix D: Sample of Letter of Que	estionnaire Survey	227

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Sustainable development has been defined as development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Commission on Environment, 1987). Nowadays, the implementation of green practice has become one of the most favoured methods in road construction as these vital infrastructure assets give significant impact on the environment and humans. 'United Nations Sustainable Development Summit 2015' which was held in New York on September 2015 acknowledged 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) (United Nation, 2015). Out of the 17 goals, SDG 9 highlights the importance building of resilient infrastructure that promotes inclusive and sustainable industrialization and foster innovation. This goal will definitely promote safe and sustainable road networks throughout the world, including urban and rural areas. In Malaysia particularly, the rural road networks comprise the largest network of roads in Malaysia (Abd Rashid *et al.,* 2020). Rural road are essential lifelines of rural communities, as it is the only networks that providing connectivity and access to good and services.

Therefore, efficient and sound infrastructure development, such as rural road construction and upgrading the rural road networks that link rural areas to urban areas

are necessary. Resilient infrastructure should be focusing on both rural and urban area without prone to urban areas. To add, rural roads play an important role in socioeconomic development by providing access to economic and social activities (Al Mamun & Paul, 2018). According to Robinson (1999), rural roads generally contribute to development by facilitating local and international trade, improving access to jobs, education, healthcare, and other services worldwide. Robinson further emphasises that an effective and efficient road transport system can lead to higher incomes, greater economic well-being, increased personal mobility and facilitated economic growth. In return, it assists in reducing poverty and contributes to social development. Therefore, in many developing countries, investment in rural road infrastructure has continued to be a priority, as structured rural road plays a catalyst role in socioeconomic development and provides access to amenities such as education, healthcare, marketing and many other important assets.

Furthermore, well-planned rural connectivity improves the economy and reduces poverty level, and leads to increased agricultural productivity by bringing in new land into cultivation (Mamun & Paul, 2018). The role of rural roads is profoundly evident in providing accessibility to social services, agriculture advisory services, markets, credit facilities, modern technologies and agriculture mechanization. The economical, educational and social development of villages heavily relies on their accessibility (Mulmi, 2009). Moreover, rural roads are a vital part of the road network as they play an important role in connecting highways, arterial roads, towns, villages, and tourist spots. They serve as a medium for the flow of people, logistics, and information in rural areas. Moreover, rural roads encourage labour transfer to the non-agricultural sector, thereby enhancing agricultural labour

productivity (Zhong *et al.*, 2020). Identically, Zhou *et al.*, 2021 elaborate on the function of rural roads in agricultures areas, highlighting their significant contribution to rural revitalization and agricultural production.

However, road development and operation involve large-scale activities that can have long-term effects on humans and the environment. Road development has caused significant impacts on the environment, including the contribution of enormous ecological effects and the disruption of community quality of life throughout its lifecycle. To add, rural road construction can lead to issues such as land fragmentation and deterioration of cultivable land areas, which in turn affect agricultural production (Bacior & Prus, 2018). Sipes & Sipes (2013) and Malik *et al.*, (2018) have also elaborated on the impacts of road development, including increased traffic congestion, loss of biodiversity and displacement of wildlife, increased land use, fragmentation of habitats and acidification of soil.

Therefore, the adoption of sustainable approach by implementing green elements in the development and operation of rural road infrastructure is the ideal way forward in order in order to concurrently meet economic, social and environmental needs, as shown in Figure 1.1.



Figure 1.1 Sustainability Outcomes in Road Infrastructure Development

Rural roads pose unique challenges that require a tailored approach and should be designed with the community in mind. To ensure that the system is effective and feasible, it should be tailored to the specific needs of rural areas. Furthermore, the absence of guideline for green rural roads needs is found as a gap that needs to be filled through a systematic approach. Moreover, rural areas have unique attributes as compared to ordinary roads in many aspects; physical, ecological, social and economy (Malik *et al.*, 2018).

Therefore, sustainable elements should be implemented through rural road operation and maintenance as green elements will reduce the significant impact on the environmental, social and economic aspect. As mentioned by Cotton (2011), a sustainable rural road not only addresses environmental concerns, such as water quality, land conservation, and wildlife protection, but also enhances the quality of life in rural neighbourhoods by calming traffic and limiting noise, speed, and safety hazards. This is the reason why green initiatives can be seen through various green rating system all over the world (Alam & Kumar, 2013; Abd Rashid et al., 2020). The elements of road exert an influence on socioeconomic development activities by maximising access to basic facilities such as educational institutions and health centres, enhancing the local natural and identity through the iteration on the local landscape, and improving the quality of life through these elements. It also provides employment opportunities through operation and maintenance activities. Undeniably, the green initiative should be implemented by the Malaysian government for rural road infrastructure development, which benefit socioeconomically can and environmentally. Thus, this study investigates the potential contribution of green road elements to socioeconomic development of rural communities while also benefiting the environment.

1.2 Problem Statements

Sustainable and sound rural roads are imperative as they serve as the only networks connecting rural people to city centres and community facilities such as schools, universities, health centres, and commercial places (Quintera, 2016). Moreover, rural roads comprise the largest road network in Malaysia (Abd Rashid et al., 2020). According to Faiz et al., (2012), rural access is closely related to rural livelihood outcomes, including increased incomes, enhanced social well-being, reduced vulnerability and improved food security. This is supported by Ale et al., (2011), where the scholar claimed that the development of basic infrastructure in rural areas can be seen as an accelerator that speeds up economic growth in those areas. As a result, it simultaneously improves the social quality and well-being of the rural community as a whole. Straka and Tuzova (2016) also emphasized that road infrastructure acts as a medium for improving the socioeconomic and well-being of rural communities. However, rural road development is also associated with negative impacts on the environment, economy, and society. For instance, road construction consumes a large number of natural resources, generates significant amounts of waste, and requires extensive human capital (Morrissey et al., 2012). Moreover, road development has a negative impact on surrounding ecosystems and the overall environmental quality (Mancini & Sala, 2018; Nazir et al., 2020).

Therefore, the development of rural road infrastructure must be chosen wisely and follow sustainable practices, as these measures can significantly reduce the impact on society and the environment. By implementing green practices in road operation and maintenance, it is possible to mitigate the effects on the natural environment, increase capacity, and provide benefits to society beyond what ordinary roads can offer (Bryce, 2008). According to the Sustainable Development Goal 2030 (SDG 2030), which comprise seventeen (17) integrated goals, it is recognized that actions in one area will have an impact on others. Therefore, development must strive to social, economic and environmental sustainability. In alignment with the aim of the Sustainable Development Goal, rural road infrastructure should provide benefits to society both environmentally and socioeconomically.

Even though the concept of green roads has gained momentum in Malaysia through the implementation of the Malaysia Green Highway Index (MyGHI) for highways and pHJKR (Jalan) for federal roads, there is currently no established guideline for green rural roads in Malaysia. This situation raises concerns about the level of sustainability for the largest road network in Malaysia, which is composed of rural roads.

Therefore, given the negative impacts of road development, significant attention should be given to sustainable rural road development. The development of rural areas should be conducted with a strong emphasis on sustainable principles, ensuring the preservation of the originality of the rural landscape. Furthermore, rural landscapes are noteworthy for their ecological components, such as forests and green lands, as well as their cultural and heritage value and socioeconomic conditions (Nikumbh & Aher, 2019). Thus, it is crucial to preserve the physical conditions in rural areas, which have not been exploited to the same extent as urban areas, by all means. Moreover, Lucas *et al.*, (2016) also claimed that poor rural road connectivity and unsafe travel conditions continue to affect the quality of life in rural communities and hinder their daily economic activities, particularly in developing and undeveloped countries. Hence, there is a need to conduct a specific study that focuses on the elements to be considered in the development of green rural roads, aiming to preserve rural treasures while providing social and economic benefits and concurrently