

**ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF SINGLE SPAN MILITARY BRIDGE  
USING ALUMINIUM ALLOY**

**AZRUL AFFANDHI BIN MUSTHAFFA AL BAKRI**

Thesis Submitted to the Centre for Graduate Studies, Universiti Pertahanan  
Nasional Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Science in Civil Engineering

August 2014

## DEDICATION

*Alhamdulillah...*

*“Especially for my beloved parent Ibu & Papa, my beloved wife*

*Siti Kamariah binti Sulaiman and my beloved son*

*Muhammad Aqil Saufhi bin Azrul Affandhi...”*

*Thanks for give me strength to complete this journey...*

## ABSTRACT

Military bridge is an important asset for mobility support in the military operations or during natural disaster. Malaysia still relies on foreign technology because the country is still not capable in producing our own military bridge. The objective of this research is to design a suitable single span military bridge structure for the intended purpose using 6061-T6 aluminium alloy as the main material. The bridge is a girder type with 41.1 m length, 4 m width, 1.5 m depth and has the capacity to carry a Pendekar tank vehicle load which is classified as MLC 70. The design of the military bridge is based on operational requirements provided by the Malaysian Army and as outlined in Trilateral Design and Test Code (TDTC) for Military Bridge and Gap Crossing Equipment. The girder is preliminary designed by limit states checking based on Eurocode 9: Design of Aluminium Structures and the optimized design are done by Finite Element Analysis (FEA) using MSC PATRAN/NASTRAN simulation software. Structural test was conducted on available girder to validate the simulation works. The testing complied to all procedures as explained in the TDTC. Analysis and design results show that the girder produced safe values of maximum stresses, deflection, buckling and torsion. The additional analysis performed on hybrid girder found that the hybrid girder is capable to withstand heavier load. Structural test results show lower value than results from simulation, which is proven sufficient and reasonable save margin is available between simulation and test outcome. This research has obtained its objectives and justified that military bridge structure can be designed using locally available materials.

## ABSTRAK

Jambatan tentera adalah suatu aset yang penting di dalam membantu mobiliti sesuatu operasi ketenteraan atau semasa bencana alam. Malaysia masih bergantung kepada teknologi asing kerana masih tidak mampu menghasilkan jambatan tenteranya sendiri. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk merekabentuk sebuah jambatan tentera satu rentang yang sesuai untuk kegunaan yang diharapkan menggunakan aluminium aloi 6061-T6 sebagai material utamanya. Jambatan ini adalah jenis jambatan galang dengan dimensi 41.1m panjang, 4m lebar, 1.5m tinggi dan berkeupayaan untuk menanggung beban kereta kebal Pendekar yang diklasifikasikan sebagai MLC 70. Rekabentuk jambatan tentera ini adalah berdasarkan kehendak operasi yang diperlukan oleh Tentera Darat Malaysia dan seperti mana yang digariskan di dalam Trilateral Design and Test Code (TDTC) for Military Bridge and Gap Crossing Equipment. Galang jambatan direkabentuk awalan dengan kaedah semakan keadaan had berpandukan Eurocode 9: Design of Aluminium Structures dan direkabentuk secara optima dengan kaedah Analisis Unsur Terhingga menggunakan perisian MSC PATRAN/NASTRAN. Ujian struktur dijalankan terhadap galang yang ada untuk mengesahkan kerja simulasi Analisis Unsur Terhingga. Ujian tersebut mematuhi semua prosedur yang dinyatakan di dalam TDTC. Keputusan analisis dan reka bentuk menunjukkan galang jambatan menghasilkan nilai selamat bagi tegasan maksimum, pesongan, lekukan dan kilasan. Analisis tambahan yang dijalankan terhadap galang hibrid mendapati galang tersebut berkeupayaan untuk menampung beban kenderaan yang lebih berat. Keputusan ujian struktur memperoleh nilai yang lebih rendah berbanding keputusan simulasi menunjukkan margin selamat yang mencukupi dan boleh diterima di antara keputusan simulasi dan ujian. Kajian ini

telah mencapai objektif dan mengesahkan bahawa struktur jambatan tentera boleh direkabentuk menggunakan bahan tempatan.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I would like to convey my warmest thank to my supervisor, Colonel Professor Ir. Dr. Norazman bin Mohamad Nor for his guidance and encouragement in all aspects of this thesis. His comments and suggestions during the preparation of this thesis are gratefully appreciated. His patience, commitment and availability for any help whenever needed is really appreciated.

My appreciation is also extended to all academic and non-academic member of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia for their cooperation either directly or indirectly in completion of this research.

## **APPROVAL**

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The member of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Colonel Ir Dr Norazman bin Mohamad Nor, PhD**

Professor

Faculty of Engineering

Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia

(Chairman)

# UNIVERSITI PERTAHANAN NASIONAL MALAYSIA

## DECLARATION OF THESIS

Author's full name : Azrul Affandhi bin Musthaffa Al Bakri  
Date of birth : 13 May 1984  
Title : Analysis and Design of Single Span Military Bridge  
Using Aluminium Alloy  
Academic Session : Oktober 2010 – June 2014

I declare that this thesis is classified as:

**CONFIDENTIAL** (Contains confidential information under the Official Secret Act 1972)\*

**RESTRICTED** (Contains restricted information as specified by the organization where research was done)\*

**OPEN ACCESS** I agree that my thesis to be published as online open access (full text)

I acknowledged that Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia reserves the right as follows:

1. The thesis is the property of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia.
2. The library of Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia has the right to make copies for the purpose of research only.
3. The library has the right to make copies of the thesis for academic exchange.

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE

840513-14-5275

\_\_\_\_\_  
IC NO.

Date: August 2014

\_\_\_\_\_  
SIGNATURE OF SUPERVISOR

COLONEL PROF. IR. DR. NORAZMAN  
BIN MOHAMAD NOR  
\_\_\_\_\_  
NAME OF SUPERVISOR

Date: August 2014

Note : \* If the thesis is CONFIDENTIAL or RESTRICTED, please attach the letter from the organisation stating period and reasons for confidentiality and restriction.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vii
<b>DECLARATION OF THESIS</b>	viii
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objective	4
1.4 Scope of Research	4
1.5 Significance of the Study	4
1.6 Thesis Outline	5
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Military Bridge System	6
2.1.1 Assault Bridge	8
2.1.1.1 M60A1 Armoured Vehicle Launch Bridge (AVLB)	8
2.1.1.2 LEGUAN 26 m Armoured Vehicle Launch Bridge (AVLB)	11
2.1.1.3 Bionix Armoured Vehicle Launch Bridge (AVLB)	14
2.1.2 Support Bridge	17
2.1.2.1 BR 90 General Support Bridge (GSB)	17
2.1.2.2 M18 40 m Dry Support Bridge (DSB)	23
2.1.3 Logistic (Line of Communication) Bridge	27
2.1.3.1 Medium Girder Bridge (MGB)	27
2.1.3.2 Compact Bailey 100 Bridge	30
2.2 Military Bridge Launching Method	34
2.3 Aluminium Alloy Production Process and Classification	36
2.4 Aluminium Alloy for Military Bridge Structure	40
2.4.1 Aluminium Alloy 2014	42
2.4.2 Aluminium Alloy 6061	43
2.4.3 Aluminium Alloy 7005	43
2.4.4 Aluminium Alloy 7019	44
2.4.5 Aluminium Alloy 7020	45
2.5 Availability of Aluminium Alloy in Malaysia	46

2.6	Design Basis for Designing Military Bridge Structure Using Aluminium Alloy	47
2.7	Conclusion	50
<b>3</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>52</b>
3.1	Research Process	52
3.2	Military Bridge Conceptual Design	56
3.2.1	Military Bridge Operational Requirements	56
3.2.2	Military Bridge Launching Concept	58
3.2.3	Military Bridge Structure Concept	58
3.3	Materials	59
3.3.1	Aluminium Alloy 6061-T6	59
3.3.2	Steel AISI 4340	60
3.3.3	Carbon Fiber-Reinforced Polymer (CFRP)	61
3.4	Analysis and Design of the Military Bridge Structure	61
3.4.1	Military Bridge Loads	62
3.4.2	Preliminary Design	63
3.4.3	Finite Element Analysis	65
3.5	Structural Testing of the Bridge Girder	66
3.5.1	Review of the Specimen	67
3.5.2	Test Set Up and Instrumentation	68
3.5.3	Test Procedure	69
3.6	Conclusion	72
<b>4</b>	<b>CONCEPTUAL DESIGN FOR THE NEW MILITARY BRIDGE</b>	<b>73</b>
4.1	Military Bridge Characteristics	73
4.2	Military Bridge Launching Concept	75
4.2.1	Site Preparation	77
4.2.2	Unload Components	78
4.2.3	Construct Launching Rail	79
4.2.4	Push Launching Rail To Farbank	80
4.2.5	Emplace Launching Rail Into Position	80
4.2.6	Construct Bridge Module	81
4.2.7	Push Bridge Module To Farbank	82
4.2.8	Emplace Bridge Into Position	82
4.2.9	Bridge Ready for Crossing	83
4.2.10	Construction of the New Military Bridge Using Commercial Heavy Crane	83
4.3	Military Bridge Structure Concept	84
4.4	Conclusion	86
<b>5</b>	<b>ANALYSIS AND DESIGN OF THE MILITARY BRIDGE STRUCTURE</b>	<b>88</b>
5.1	Structure Layout	90
5.2	Loading Analysis	93
5.2.1	Critical Loading Condition	93
5.2.2	Military Bridge Loads	94
5.2.2.1	Dead Load (D)	94
5.2.2.2	Vehicle Load (V)	95

	5.2.2.3 Mud Load (M)	98
	5.2.2.4 Wind Load (W)	98
	5.2.2.5 Braking and Acceleration Forces (B) and Skewing Force	99
	5.2.3 Design Loads Combination and Arrangement	100
	5.2.4 Safety Factor	102
5.3	Preliminary Design of Bridge Girder	102
	5.3.1 Static Analysis	102
	5.3.2 Size Determination	105
	5.3.3 Limit States Checking	111
	5.3.3.1 Bending Resistance	111
	5.3.3.2 Shear Resistance Checking	112
	5.3.3.3 Web Buckling Resistance	112
	5.3.3.4 Web Shear Resistance	113
	5.3.3.5 Lateral Torsional Buckling Resistance	113
	5.3.3.6 Deflection Limit	114
	5.3.4 Summary of Preliminary Design	114
5.4	Finite Element Analysis (FEA) of the Bridge Girder	116
	5.4.1 Finite Element Model	116
	5.4.2 Boundary Condition	118
	5.4.3 Material Properties	119
	5.4.4 Applied Loads	119
	5.4.5 Static Analysis	121
	5.4.6 Study on Reinforcement Elements Effects to Bridge Girder	129
	5.4.7 Buckling Analysis	146
	5.4.8 Torsion Analysis	149
5.5	Finite Element Analysis of the Bridge Girder Connection	151
	5.5.1 Connection Layout	151
	5.5.2 Applied Load on Connection	154
	5.5.3 Responses of Bolts	157
	5.5.4 Responses of Rod	159
	5.5.5 Responses of Connector Plate	163
5.6	Analysis on Hybrid Girder	167
5.7	Conclusion	172
<b>6</b>	<b>STRUCTURAL TESTING OF THE BRIDGE GIRDER</b>	<b>174</b>
6.1	Analysis on Full Scale and Scaled Down Girder	174
6.2	Test Set Up and Instrumentation	177
6.3	Test Result	181
	6.3.1 Comparison between Distributed Load and Contact Area Load	181
	6.3.2 Vertical Displacement	182
	6.3.3 Compressive Strain	184
	6.3.4 Tensile Strain	186
	6.3.5 Shear Strain	190
6.4	Comparison Between Testing Results and FEA Results	192
6.5	Conclusion	193

<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>194</b>
7.1	Conclusion	194
7.2	Research Contribution	196
7.3	Recommendation for Future Research	197
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>198</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	

## LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Analysis on the military bridges launching methods	34
2.2	Characteristic of alloying element	37
2.3	Cast aluminium alloy designation	39
2.4	Wrought aluminium alloy designation	39
2.5	Mechanical properties of 2014 Alloy	42
2.6	Mechanical properties of 6061 Alloy	43
2.7	Mechanical properties of 7005 Alloy	44
2.8	Mechanical properties of 7019 Alloy	44
2.9	Mechanical properties of 7020 Alloy	45
2.10	Geometric design parameter for military bridge	48
2.11	Military bridges' weight per meter run	50
3.1	Operational factors in GSR	56
3.2	Additional factors for military bridge operational requirements	57
3.3	Function of each military bridge structure part	58
3.4	Mechanical properties of 6061-T6 Alloy	56
3.5	Mechanical properties of Steel AISI 4340	60
3.6	Mechanical properties of CFRP with 50 % carbon fiber	61
3.7	Procedure for Working Load Test	70
3.8	Procedure for Overload Test	71
4.1	Characteristics of the new military bridge	74
5.1	Comparison of performance between distributed load and contact area load	97
5.2	Value of wind pressure	99
5.3	Loading analysis summary	103
5.4	Result of initial size determination	106
5.5	Result of analysis of each cross section	109
5.6	Result of bending moment resistance checking	111
5.7	Summary of limit state checking	114
5.8	Input data of properties of 6061 Alloy in PATRAN	119
5.9	Loading summary for input in PATRAN	120
5.10	Comparison between manual calculation and FEA in PATRAN result	122
5.11	Description of reinforced FE Model	131
5.12	Comparison result of maximum tensile stress for each model	135
5.13	Comparison result of maximum compressive stress for each model	136
5.14	Comparison result of maximum vertical displacement for each model	137
5.15	Comparison result of maximum transverse displacement for each model	138
5.16	Description of new reinforced FE Model	139
5.17	Comparison result of maximum tensile stress for Model CS4G, CS4H and CS4I	142
5.18	Comparison result of maximum compressive stress for Model CS4G, CS4H and CS4I	142
5.19	Comparison result of maximum shear stress for Model CS4G, CS4H and CS4I	142

5.20	Comparison result of maximum vertical displacement for Model CS4G, CS4H and CS4I	143
5.21	Comparison result of maximum transverse displacement for Model CS4G, CS4H and CS4I	143
5.22	Maximum shear stress on 30 mm Bolt	159
5.23	Maximum shear stress on rod	163
5.24	Maximum stress of various plate thickness	165
5.25	Final result of connection design	167
5.26	Result of analysis of hybrid girder for MLC 70	170
5.27	Result of analysis of hybrid girder for heavier load	172
6.1	Comparison of loading of 1 track between full scale and 30% scaled down girder	176
6.2	Comparison of stresses value between full scale and scaled down girder	177
6.3	Description of test	178
6.4	Comparison of test result between distributed load and contact area load	182
6.5	Comparison between FEA result and test result	192

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Battlefield graphic of military bridging function in war	7
2.2	The M60A1 AVLB action during launching	9
2.3	Illustration of the M60A1 AVLB launching sequence	10
2.4	The LEGUAN 26 m AVLB in travelling configuration	11
2.5	Illustration of the LEGUAN 26 m AVLB launching sequence	13
2.6	The LEGUAN 26 m AVLB action during launching	14
2.7	The Bionix AVLB action during launching	15
2.8	Illustration of the Bionix AVLB launching sequence	16
2.9	BR90 GSB deployed during Bukit Antarabangsa landslide relief operation	18
2.10	Automotive Bridge Launching Equipment (ABLE)	19
2.11	Bridging Vehicle (BV)	19
2.12	BR90 GSB components	19
2.13	Cross section of BR90 GSB	19
2.14	Illustration of the BR90 GSB launching sequence	21
2.15	Reinforcement cable system attached at lower part of the bridge	22
2.16	BR90 GSB connection part	23
2.17	M18 40 m DSB action during launching	24
2.18	Cross section of M18 40 m DSB module	25
2.19	Illustration of the M18 40 m DSB launching sequence	26
2.20	Standard MGB parts	28
2.21	MBT crossing on double storey MGB	28
2.22	Illustration of the MGB launching sequence	29
2.23	Compact Bailey 100 Bridge constructed by Royal Engineer Regiment during flood relief operation at FELDA Selancar, Johor	31
2.24	Compact Bailey 100 bridge parts	31
2.25	Illustration of the Compact Bailey 100 Bridge (5 bays) launching sequence	33
3.1	Flow chart of research process	55
3.2	Main Battle Tank PT-91M Pendekar	63
3.3	Weight and dimension of MLC 70 (Tracked)	63
3.4	Distribution of stress of typical girder cross section	64
3.5	30% scaled down bridge girder's cross section	67
3.6	Actual (full scale) bridge girder's cross section	68
3.7	Test set up arrangement	69
3.8	Graphs for loading procedure	71
4.1	Launching rail part	75
4.2	Bridge part	76
4.3	Launching equipment	77
4.4	Carrier vehicles	77
4.5	Machine clearing construction site	77
4.6	The bridge components unload at the construction site	78
4.7	Launching rail construction	79
4.8	Counterweight applied during push the launching rail	80

4.9	Launching rail emplaced into position	80
4.10	Bridge module constructed beneath the launching rail	81
4.11	Bridge module pushed across the gap	82
4.12	Bridge emplaced into position	82
4.13	Vehicle start cross the bridge	83
4.14	Bridge construction using heavy crane	83
4.15	Plan view of the new military bridge	85
4.16	Side elevation of the new military bridge	85
4.17	Cross section (A-A) view	86
5.1	Analysis and design flowchart	89
5.2	Preliminary cross section of bridge girder – CS 1	90
5.3	Connection part between modules (side elevation)	92
5.4	Connection part between modules (cross section B-B)	92
5.5	Bridge during launching stage (using launching rail)	93
5.6	Bridge during launching stage (using crane)	94
5.7	Bridge during operation stage	94
5.8	Weight and dimension of MLC 70 (Tracked)	95
5.9	Dimension of the track and contact area (plan view)	96
5.10	Load and dimension of the each contact area	97
5.11	Location of braking and skewing force on one contact area	100
5.12	Illustration of critical loading arrangement	101
5.13	Illustration of vehicle location on the bridge midspan	102
5.14	Loading free body diagram	103
5.15	Shear force diagram	104
5.16	Bending moment diagram	104
5.17	Alternative proposed cross section for bridge girder	108
5.18	Initial size of bridge girder's cross section	110
5.19	Final size of bridge girder's cross section from preliminary design	115
5.20	Illustration of the Model CS4	117
5.21	Illustration of the Tet10 meshes on the Model CS4 (view at ramp section)	118
5.22	Direction of applied load on a bridge girder	120
5.23	Loadcases based on vehicle load location	124
5.24	Contour plot of stress of Model CS4 (top isometric view)	125
5.25	Contour plot of stress of Model CS4 (underside isometric view)	126
5.26	Contour plot of vertical displacement of Model CS4	126
5.27	Contour plot of transverse displacement of Model CS4	127
5.28	Reinforcement elements in bridge girder	130
5.29	Cross section of Model CS4A until CS4F	134
5.30	Cross section of Model CS4G until CS4I	141
5.31	Contour plot of stress of Model CS4H (top isometric view)	144
5.32	Contour plot of stress of Model CS4H (underside isometric view)	144
5.33	Contour plot of shear stress of Model CS4H (underside isometric view)	145
5.34	Contour plot of vertical displacement of Model CS4H	145
5.35	Contour plot of transverse displacement of Model CS4H	146
5.36	Contour plot of buckling for Loadcase 1	147
5.37	Contour plot of buckling for Loadcase 2	148



5.38	Contour plot of buckling for Loadcase 3	148
5.39	Contour plot of buckling for Loadcase 4	149
5.40	Contour plot of girder deformation on Y-axis	150
5.41	Deformation of the bridge girder in y-axis	150
5.42	Location of connection parts	152
5.43	Illustration of inner connector plate	153
5.44	Illustration of outer connector plate	153
5.45	Illustration of inner and outer connector plate when connected	154
5.46	Distribution of stress of the girder cross section	155
5.47	Loading and constraint area on the bolt	157
5.48	Forces acted on bolt (underside view)	158
5.49	Contour plot of the 30 mm bolt	159
5.50	Underside view of Joint A (double shear)	160
5.51	Loading and constraint area on the rod	160
5.52	Load acted on rod (underside view)	161
5.53	Underside view of Joint Type B and C	162
5.54	Contour plot of the 100 mm Rod	163
5.55	Loading and constraint area on the connector plate	164
5.56	Contour plot of tensile and compressive stress of the inner plate 40 mm	165
5.57	Contour plot of shear stress of the inner plate 40 mm	166
5.58	Transformation of hybrid girder to single material girder	169
5.59	Proposed size of hybrid girder's cross section	171
6.1	Weight and dimension of MLC 30 (Tracked)	174
6.2	Load arrangement for Test No 1 and Test No 2	178
6.3	Load arrangement for Test No 3 and Test No 4	179
6.4	Locations of strain gauges attached to the girder	180
6.5	Locations of displacement transducers attached to the girder	181
6.6	Vertical displacement by working load	183
6.7	Vertical displacement by overload	183
6.8	Compressive strain distribution of the girder by working load	185
6.9	Compressive strain distribution of the girder by overload	185
6.10	Tensile strain distribution (front side) of the girder by working load	187
6.11	Tensile strain distribution of the girder (front side) by overload	187
6.12	Tensile strain distribution (back side) of the girder by working load	189
6.13	Tensile strain distribution (back side) of the girder by overload	189
6.14	Shear strain distribution of the girder by working load	191
6.15	Shear strain distribution of the girder by overload	191

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AISI	–	American Iron and Steel Institute
AVLB	–	Armoured Vehicle Launched Bridge
BLF	–	Buckling Loading Factor
BR90	–	Bridge for Nineties
BV	–	Bridging Vehicle
CFRP	–	Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer
CS	–	Cross Section
DSB	–	Dry Support Bridge
FE	–	Finite Element
FEA	–	Finite Element Analysis
GSB	–	General Support Bridge
HQ	–	Headquarters
MBT	–	Main Battle Tank
MGB	–	Medium Girder Bridge
MLC	–	Military Load Class
R&D	–	Research and Development
(T)	–	Tracked
TFB	–	Tactical Floating Bridge
TDTC	–	Trilateral Design and Test Code for Military Bridging
TSSB	–	Tactical Single Span Bridge
US	–	United States
UK	–	United Kingdom
(W)	–	Wheeled

## LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Sample Calculation of the Cross Section Analysis	A - 1
B	Limit States Checking of Girder Design	B - 1
C	Limit States Checking of Connection Design	C - 1
D	Design Drawing of the New Military Bridge Girder and Connection Part	D - 1

## **CHAPTER 1**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background**

A bridge is a manmade structure that provides continuous passage over an obstacle such as river, lake and valley. It also functions to connect two separated lands. The bridge is normally constructed by using materials such as reinforced concrete, timber, iron, steel, aluminium or composite material. The bridge must be designed to bear its own weight and expected load to move on it.

In the military field, the bridge is an essential asset especially in mobility of military forces. In military operation, the bridge is urgently needed by military forces consisting of personnels, vehicles and other military assets to cross any obstacles. It is also needed to replace a damaged bridge destroyed by enemy forces. During peacetime, military bridging asset is needed by the public to temporarily replace damaged bridge caused by natural disaster such as flood, landslide, earthquake or tsunami while waiting for a new bridge to be constructed. In this situation, a portable and rapidly deployed bridge which is known as military bridge can be utilised.

There are various types of military bridges that have been designed and currently used by military forces around the world. Based on U.S Army Field Manual for Military Nonstandard Fixed Bridging (2002) and Trilateral Design and Test Code (TDTC) for Military Bridging and Gap Crossing Equipment (1996), military bridges are divided into three categories that are assault bridges, support bridges and logistic (line of communication) bridges. Malaysian Armed Forces (MAF) itself has used several types of military bridges, changing from time to time in line with the changes of the world's technology and current need. Among the types of the military bridges that have been used by MAF include Bailey Bridge, Medium Girder Bridge (MGB), BR90 Tactical Single Span Bridge (TSSB) and PFM SIR Tactical Floating Bridge (TFB). All military bridges used in Malaysia are imported from foreign countries.

Military bridges must be designed thoroughly to meet the military and special requirements. Design is a process of identifying the best material and size of the structural element. The aim of military bridge design is to create a safe, light, portable and easy to maintain structure. Lightness and corrosion resistance play a fundamental role in military bridge structure (Mazzolani, 1994). In this research, aluminum alloy is being considered as the main material for the military bridge structure. Aluminum alloy is known for its high strength to weight ratio and corrosion resistance compared to steel.

## **1.2 Problem Statement**

Utilization of military bridges is very much needed in the country and specifically for the military forces either for war or during natural disaster. Until now, Malaysia still relies on foreign technology because our country is still not capable of producing our own military needs for current and future military operation requirement, except for the launching rail module for BR 90 which is manufactured by Composite Technology Research Malaysia (CTRM). The Malaysian government spent millions of ringgit to procure the military bridges from foreign countries. This indirectly has provided a good platform for foreign countries to conduct research and development (R&D) and business prior to production of military bridges for Malaysia.

Malaysia also has its own expertise and technologies to design, develop and produce locally made military bridges. But research on military bridges in Malaysia is still at its infancy level. Therefore, the purpose of this research is to conduct research and investigation to design a new locally made military bridge to replace the foreign product and technology. In this research, material used for the military bridge structure will focus on aluminum alloy as the main material due to its high strength to weight ratio and corrosion resistance.

### **1.3 Objective**

The objectives of this research are as follow:

- a. To design a suitable single span military bridge structure for the intended purpose using selected aluminum alloy as the main material.
- b. To simulate and analyse performance of the military bridge structure.
- c. To validate the Finite Element Analysis (FEA) simulation results by conduct physical testing in the structural laboratory.

### **1.4 Scope of Research**

The scopes of this research are as follow:

- a. Design of the military bridge structure using the best aluminium alloy available in the country.
- b. Conduct FEA works using MSC PATRAN and MSC NASTRAN simulation software.
- c. Conduct physical testing using available specimen in the Engineering Faculty of the Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (UPNM).

### **1.5 Significance of the Study**

Research on military bridge is very important as our country is still not capable in producing its own military bridge. There are many advantages if we can produce our own military bridge. This research will enhance our capability to produce our own military bridge using local expertise, equipment and technology.

This research can trigger interest to Malaysian expertise and academicians to explore and conduct detail research and development (R&D) on military bridges. This bridging asset is not just to be used in military operation, but also for other agencies dealing with disaster relief operations.

## **1.7 Thesis Outline**

This thesis is organized into eight chapters. Chapter 1 introduces the problem addressed by the researcher and established the objectives and scopes for the research. Chapter 2 summarizes the literature review about current military bridge system around the world and aluminum alloy for military bridge structure and its design basis. Chapter 3 presents the research methodology. Chapter 4 presents the conceptual design for the new military bridge system. Chapter 5 presents the structural analysis and design of the military bridge structure. Chapter 6 presents the structural testing of the bridge girder. Finally Chapter 7 discusses the conclusions and recommendations with respect to the research objectives.



## **CHAPTER 2**

### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

This chapter reviews the current military bridge system that covers characteristics and capabilities of each military bridge. The finding of aluminium alloy for military bridge structure also reviewed here. The explanation includes production process, material properties and availability of selected aluminium alloy in the country. The design basis used in design military bridge structure will also presented in this chapter.

#### **2.1 Military Bridge System**

Based on U.S Army Field Manual for Military Nonstandard Fixed Bridging (2002) and Trilateral Design and Test Code (TDTC) for Military Bridging and Gap Crossing Equipment (2005), military bridges is divided into three categories that are assault bridges, support bridges and logistic (line of communication) bridges. Each classification is based on its function and location of the bridge in the battlefield. The assault bridge is designed for use by the most forward element. The support bridge is used by the main body and the logistic bridge is designed for use in line of communication (L of C) zone.